

Forcing Change

Mind Control for the Masses

By Berit Kjos



Volume 2, Issue 2



www.forcingchange.org



Editor's note: Mass social change requires a new approach to the way people think. By shifting minds from traditional values and standards, new values and behaviors can be introduced. Thus, long-held political, educational, economic, and religious paradigms must be challenged, and in many cases vilified. In the end, a modified world-view emerges, one that focuses on group solidarity rather than individualism and global citizenship instead of nationalism.

The following article by my good friend Berit Kjos, demonstrates how social re-structuring goals have been targeted through mental health services. Touching on the historical underpinnings of the mental health industry as a change agent, Berit

then demonstrates how these methods are being used in our contemporary world.

After reading this important article, you will be better equipped to evaluate the political and cultural messages fed to us on a daily basis. Over time, you'll come to realize just how pervasive and influential mental health targeting can be – and how the tools employed in this field transcend other disciplines. This article provides a vital piece to the social change puzzle.

Mrs. Kjos and I have known each other since 1996. Her guidance, support, and encouragement have been a tremendous blessing – and I count it a privilege to know her as a dear friend and Christian sister.

Legalizing and Justifying Mind Control

Note: All italics found in quotes have been added for emphasis.

Part 1: Legalizing Mind Control

“Principles of mental health cannot be successfully furthered in any society unless there is progressive acceptance of the concept of world citizenship.”

— The International Congress on Mental Health, 1948.¹

“...the subject which will be of most importance politically is Mass Psychology...The populace will not be allowed to know how its convictions were generated. When the technique has been perfected, every government that has been in charge of education for a generation will be able to control its subjects securely without the need of armies or policemen. As yet there is only one country which has succeeded in creating this politician’s paradise.”

— Bertrand Russell, *The Impact of Science on Society*.²

Would the American people allow government control and collectivism to replace freedom and individualism? You bet! So would the rest of the world. This social transformation is well under way, and the masses simply flow with the change.³ Planned over a century ago, the framework for managing and monitoring this worldwide revolution was in place by 1945.

Like the mythical Phoenix rising out of its ashes, the United Nations emerged from the fiery devastation of World War II as a beacon of light to utopian humanists and their hopeful followers. Within a year after Communist leader Alger Hiss

presided over its birth, some of its most powerful agencies had been established. Julian Huxley (brother of Aldous, utopian author of *Brave New World*) led the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). And Canadian psychiatrist Brock Chisholm laid the foundation for the World Health Organization (WHO).

These two socialist Director-Generals shared a common vision of a tightly controlled global community, but Dr. Chisholm summarized it best. Ponder his words, for they exposed a global plan for “peace” designed to conform the “mental health” of *all* people to his radical mission. Notice the villains in this crusade,

“The responsibility for charting the necessary *changes in human behavior* rests clearly on the sciences working in that field. Psychologists, psychiatrists, sociologists, economists, and politicians must face this responsibility...”

Can we identify the reasons why we fight wars...? Many of them are easy to list—prejudice, isolationism, the ability to emotionally and uncritically...believe unreasonable things...

The only psychological force capable of producing these perversions is morality, the concept of right and wrong...For many generations we have bowed our necks to the yoke of the conviction of sin. We have swallowed all manner of *poisonous certainties* fed us by our parents, our Sunday and day school teachers...

...it has long been generally accepted that parents have perfect right to impose any points of view, any lies or fears, superstitions, prejudices, hates, or faith on their defenseless children. It is, however, only recently that it has become a matter of certain knowledge that these things cause neuroses, behavior disorders, emotional disabilities, and failure to develop to a state of emotional maturity which fits one to be a citizen of a democracy...

Surely the training of children in home and

Benefits of Forcing Change membership...

Access to every issue of Forcing Change, our fully documented monthly publication.

Membership-only admittance to a large assortment of source documents, including many rare items, all in downloadable PDF.

Access to specialized e-reports such as *The Power Puzzle: A Compilation of Documents on Global Governance*.

Direct access to media files, reading lists, audio features, and more!

schools should be of at least as great public concern as their vaccination for their own protection...Individuals who have emotional disabilities of their own, guilts, fears, inferiorities, are certain to project their hates on to others...They are a very real menace...Whatever the cost, we must learn to live in friendliness and peace with...all the people in the world...

There is something to be said...for gently putting aside the mistaken old ways of our elders if that is possible. If it cannot be done gently, it may have to be done roughly or even violently.”⁴

Those “poisonous certainties” include all the unchanging truths and values that can’t be compromised. That’s why Biblical Christianity was, and continues to be, incompatible with the world’s standards for mental health. Many who refuse to conform to the evolving guidelines for tolerance, inclusiveness, group dialogue and adaptability to the UN plan for “continual change,” are facing severe consequences.⁵



Brock Chisholm

Facts on Brock Chisholm

- Born in Oakville, Ontario, in 1896.
- Served in the First World War.
- Earned his M.D. from the University of Toronto.
- Attended Yale University where he studied child psychology.
- During the Second World War he became the Canadian Army’s Director General of the Medical Services.
- In 1944, he became the first Deputy Minister of Health for Canada.
- Held the position of Executive Secretary of the Interim Commission of the World Health Organization, and became its first Director-General.
- Honorary President of the World Federalists of Canada.
- President, World Federation of Mental Health.
- According to the Canadian Encyclopaedia of Social Work (by Francis Joseph Turner), Chisholm took up the causes of world peace, population control, sex education, racial tolerance, and the admission of China to the United Nations.

“The United Nations itself represents the latest step in the social development of the world. At this stage the United Nations is still only a hope. It has not yet fulfilled, nor is it in any position to fulfill, its destiny at the present time. The constitution of the United Nations, and those of the Specialized Agencies, are founded on the hope that there may be found in the world, in enough places and soon enough a sufficient number of mature civilized people who are capable of living as world citizens...The human race at this stage of its development needs hope, because it has become necessary to recognize that the old behaviour patterns of our ancestors are no longer good enough. The methods by which the race has reached its present stage of development will not serve in the future...The time has come when the world must have, for the survival of the human race, large numbers of people capable of functioning as world citizens...” (Excerpts of a speech given to the Empire Club of Canada, April 5, 1951).

“Stop giving children final answers, rights and wrongs, local or any other kinds of certainties. Allow them to look at everything. Help them to look at all available realities and encourage them to prepare to do their own thinking, independent of their parents’ faiths.” (“Can Man Survive?” printed in *The Nation*, July 27, 1946, also reprinted in the journal, *ETS: A Review of General Semantics*).



imposition of another tax, and the establishment of another bureau.”⁷

He is right. Year after year, new laws that widen the web of surveillance – and new regulations that ban “hate,” “intolerance,” and other broad and ambiguous threats to solidarity – have been building an inescapable framework for control.

“We have a clear blueprint in place,” announced Surgeon-General David Satcher at the National Healthy People Consortium meeting in 1998. Elaborating on this blueprint, Satcher explained,

“Currently, 47 states are actively involved in *Healthy People 2000* and ‘*Healthy City and Healthy Community*’ initiatives are being pursued throughout the country. Hundreds of national organizations have reviewed the Year 2000 objectives and have adopted them as their own...*No priority yet has generated as much interest and enthusiasm as this one on mental health...*”

“Our efforts will be focused on maintaining a system of *global health surveillance*,” he continued. “*Healthy People 2010* is the United States’ contribution to the World Health Organization’s call to the nations of the world to renew their commitment to health for all.”⁸

On April 29, 2002, President George W. Bush added his support to this massive network by signing an Executive Order with the misleading title, “President’s New Freedom Commission on Mental Health.” This Executive Order established a commission that bound the Departments of Health and Human Services, Education and Labor together in a common quest for “the desired outcomes of mental health care, which are to attain each individual’s maximum level of employment, self-care, interpersonal relationships, and *community participation*.”⁹

That may sound fine to some of you. After all, mental illness has devastated countless lives and families around the world. But this vast new men-

A World Health Organization (WHO) agency, named “Nations for Mental Health,” was established to guide the national branches of this global network founded by Dr. Chisholm. Its website tells us that, “*Governments will be assisted to formulate, implement, monitor and evaluate mental health policies...*” These “policies should enable all *individuals whose mental health is disturbed* or whose psychological balance may be compromised to obtain services adapted to their needs, and to promote the *optimal development of the mental health of the population*.”⁶

Do you wonder how nations will define, measure, monitor and promote “the optimal mental health of the population?” It starts with subtle, even secret, legislation.

Back in 1960, Dr. Lewis Alesen, former surgeon and president of California Medical Association, wrote this timely warning,

“...the proponents of the *mental health program* have been quick to elaborate a series of legislative proposals...this is the age-old subterfuge of the collectivist, whose only solution for any problem, be it economic, social or political, is the passage of another law, the

tal surveillance and remediation program reaches far beyond actual mental illness. Instead of informing taxpayers, its nice-sounding goals and promising titles seem designed to hide the truth, pacify the masses, and prevent opposition. In his 1960 book, *Mental Robots*, Dr. Lewis A. Alesen described this age-old tactic,

“Most of the almost innumerable books, pamphlets, and other publications issued by the sponsors of the mental health program are purposely and craftily disingenuous, disguising its real and ultimate purpose...the ultimate reduction of every individual in this world, except the favored few who conceive of themselves as possessed of an incomparable genius in their ability to direct the affairs of others, into...a common servile obeisance to his master, the State.”¹⁰

President Bush assures us that his new “Freedom Commission” (subtitled “Achieving the Promise: Transforming Mental Health Care in America”) would “follow the principles of Federalism.” Instead, it actually paves the way for an official cradle-to-grave behavioral modification and monitoring system that would turn those wise federalist principles upside-down. To “ensure that its recommendations would promote innovation, flexibility, and accountability at all levels of government,” this Commission need only “respect” – *not accept or acknowledge* – “the constitutional role of the States.” That’s *not* the Federalism guaranteed in the US Constitution.¹¹

Eight months after President Bush signed this Executive Order, his new “Freedom Commission on Mental Health” presented its report. Like the Homeland Security Act, the report focused on “prevention.” To globalist managers who would conform our thinking to a communitarian ideal, prevention implies a continual program of assessing, monitoring and molding every mind – *before* any evidence of “unhealthy” thinking appears. The following excerpts from its “Goal 4: Early

Mental Health Screening, Assessment and Referral to Services” presents the persuasive “scientific” evidence needed to gain public support,

“New understanding of the brain indicates that early identification and interventions can sharply improve outcomes and that longer periods of abnormal thoughts and behavior have cumulative effects and can limit capacity for recovery...

Since children develop rapidly, delivering mental health services and supports early and swiftly is necessary to avoid permanent consequences and to ensure that children are ready for school. Emerging neuroscience highlights the ability of environmental factors to shape brain development and related behavior...

Early interventions, such as the Nurse-Family Partnership,¹² and educational efforts can help a greater number of parents, the public, and providers learn about the importance of the first years of a child’s life and how to establish a foundation for healthy social and emotional development.

A coordinated, national approach to these issues will help eliminate social and emotional barriers to learning...More effort is needed to heighten public awareness of the developmental requirements for children’s social and emotional well-being.”¹³

What is meant by “eliminate social and emotional barriers to learning?” Might it include Christian faith and a Biblical view of right and wrong? Or parental objections to government interference? Or the constitutional rights that protect religious freedom?

If so, it would match Dr. Chisholm’s 1946 blueprint for “mental health” (quoted earlier). In that message, the WHO pioneer asked, “Can such a program of re-education...be charted?”

The answer is “yes,” and each year brings us closer to this sobering reality.

Notable Quotes on Control, Social Change, and Mind-frames:

“We all control, and we are all controlled. As human behavior is further analyzed, control will become more effective.” – B.F. Skinner (celebrated psychologist), *Science and Human Behavior* (The Free Press, 1953), p.438.

“Everywhere there is government, its institutions, and its benevolent agents. As moral authority declines, its therapeutic authority grows. As people become economically superfluous and therefore immune to the discipline of the economic system, they must be disciplined by the social-service system.” – Peter Schrag (award winning author), *Mind Control* (Pantheon Books, 1978), p.238.

“The State owns everything, people included. People are at once an investment and a product. The investment is into young and unhealthy bodies; the product is mature and healthy ones. Surely, these healthy bodies cannot be allowed to govern themselves, make themselves sick, perhaps even kill themselves. That would be destroying State property.” – Thomas S. Szasz (Professor of Psychiatry), *The Manufacture of Madness* (Dell/Delta Book, 1970), p.213. Note: Szasz is a critic of government-sponsored social change, and made the above statement as a point-of-fact.

Part 2: Justifying Mind Control

“Americans today are being deluged with... proposals by federal, state, and local governments classified under the broad general and disarmingly innocent title of ‘The Mental Health Program’...”

— Lewis Albert Alesen, *Mental Robots*.¹⁴

“...absolute behavior control is imminent... The critical point of behavior control, in effect, is sneaking up on mankind without his self-conscious realization that a crisis is at hand. Man will... never self-consciously know that it has happened.”

— Raymond Houghton, ASCD (curriculum arm of the NEA), 1970.¹⁵

“An Iceberg presents only one tenth of its deadly mass above the ocean’s surface,” wrote Dr. Alesen in *Mental Robots*. “So it is with this pernicious so-called mental health program” [see end-note #14]. Alesen, a former surgeon who served as president of the California Medical Association in the 1950s, went on to describe the growing web of government control aimed at eliminating “barriers” to global oneness.

His conclusion? The revolutionary pioneers in the global “mental health” movement showed little concern for the standard forms of mental illness. Instead, their “therapy” would target the sick masses of humanity – with the main focus on the misguided individuals who take their stand on the uncompromising truths of the Bible.

Their mass psychology has already changed our world. Have you wondered why we suddenly live in a “postmodern” world with little tolerance toward truth or traditional authorities? Or why France, Sweden and Canada are banning offensive Scriptures? Or why traditional morality means nothing to today’s consensus-trained youth?

The war against Christian symbols and holidays may be the most obvious symptom. God has been banned from Christmas celebrations, art projects, seasonal songs and American history. Even a first-grader’s personal prayer has led to ridicule and reproof. How did this nation slide so far from its foundations?

Part of the answer is found in the new usage of a familiar word: “Prevention.” Decades ago, humanist visionaries twisted its focus 180 degrees. No longer directed against sexual immorality and occult seductions, “prevention” would instead

open the door to forbidden thrills by blocking interference from old-fashioned parents, pastors, and teachers.

In other words, *prevention* would be a key to social control and communal transformation. Just as the US Homeland Security department uses the word *prevention* to justify extensive surveillance of ordinary citizens, so our US Departments of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and Education (DOE) – and their global partners – use *prevention* to mold the views and values of our children.

“[Our objective] will require a change in the prevailing culture – the attitudes, values, norms and accepted ways of doing things,”¹⁶ said Marc Tucker, the mastermind behind today’s global school-to-work system.

In Part 1 of this series, I extensively quoted Dr. Brock Chisholm, the first head of the World Health Organization. Remember, back in 1946 he laid the blame for war and human conflict squarely at the feet of parents and Sunday schools teachers who – from the beginning – fed their children the “poisonous certainties” of the Bible. An active Unitarian who despised God’s truths, Chisholm asked a suggestive question,

“Can we identify the reasons why we fight wars...? Many of them are easy to list – prejudice, isolationism, the ability to emotionally and uncritically believe unreasonable things...

When the other [infectious diseases] were attacked at the preventative level, some martyrs had to be sacrificed to the cause of humanity, because reactionary forces fought back. Ignorance, superstition, moral certainties...resisted through anti-reform organizations, religious and political pressure groups, even political parties.”¹⁷

Chisholm’s friend, Alger Hiss, agreed. In 1948, the infamous Soviet spy published Chisholm’s message on mental health in his socialist journal,

International Conciliation. Hiss, then president of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, added his own Preface, which showed the involvement of the Rockefeller Foundation in the mental health movement.¹⁸

Earlier, another loyal friend had launched a new journal called *Psychiatry*, which would gain immense prestige by the end of the century. Its owner, US psychiatrist Harry Stack Sullivan, also published Chisholm’s message.

Dr. Sullivan and Dr. Chisholm had been working closely with the British Brigadier-General John Rawlings Rees. Dr. Rees had helped found the Tavistock Institute of Medical Psychology, the birthplace of the infamous Tavistock Institute for Human Relations.¹⁹ As military officers, all three had been involved in psychological research using their respective armies. All wanted to know how conflict, fear, and psychological trauma could be used to manage large human populations.

The three psychiatrists represented three nations: the United Kingdom, USA, and Canada. Together, they mapped the course for the world’s mental health management system by the light of their own socialist vision of global conformity.²⁰

Dr. Rees had envisioned a global NGO (non-governmental organization) that would network with political and civic leaders around the world. His leadership led to the birth of the World Federation for Mental Health (WFMH) in 1948. It would “enjoy consultative relationship with several UN agencies and...national groups” but remain free from government oversight. Its purpose: “To promote among all peoples and nations the highest possible level of mental health...in its broadest biological, medical, educational, and social aspects.”²¹

Dr. Chisholm, Margaret Mead (the federation’s second president) and other social scientists from ten countries wrote its founding document, *Mental Health and World Citizenship*. Notice their attitude toward traditional values,

- “Studies of human development indicate the

modifiability of human behaviour throughout life, especially during infancy, childhood and adolescence...The social sciences and psychiatry also offer a better understanding of the great obstacles to rapid progress in human affairs..."

- "Social institutions such as family and school impose their imprint early...It is the men and women in whom these patterns of attitude and behaviour have been incorporated who present the immediate resistance to social, economic and political changes. Thus, prejudice, hostility or excessive nationalism may become deeply embedded in the developing personality...often at great human cost..."
- "...change will be strongly resisted unless an attitude of acceptance has first been engendered." ²²

Today, more than half a century later, that "attitude of acceptance" has been built. Nations around the world are fast conforming to the pattern set in the 1940s. The global network of "mental health" partners is working to *prevent* anything that would hinder "positive" collective thinking in the rising global village. Few notice its tentacles reaching into community health programs and civil society in over 130 nations around the world.²³

Pushed by this massive system, our communities are changing fast. Ismail Serageldin, Vice President of the World Bank, explains that cities are "the vectors of social change and transformation"²⁴ – and so they are. That's where schools, health agencies, private institutions, the media, social activists and other members of "civil society" strive together to promote "progress" and to produce "world citizens" for the envisioned 21st century community.

Mass immigration and multicultural conflicts have added to the urgency, and the intentional crisis has helped promote pre-planned solutions. Today, strategies for social change such as group thinking, conflict resolution, consensus building and continual compromise are becoming the

norm. All are based on the dialectic process used in the former Soviet Union to conform minds to Soviet ideology.²⁵

Small wonder Dr. Satcher, former US Surgeon General, showed special interest in the field of mental health. "No priority yet has generated as much interest and enthusiasm as this one on mental health," he announced in 1998, "...our efforts will be focused on maintaining a system of *global health surveillance*."²⁶

Dr. Richard Carmona, the new US Surgeon General appointed by President George W. Bush, seems to be following the same plan. In a speech at the American Psychological Association's 2003 Annual Convention in Toronto, he asked for help in raising "the public's mental health literacy."

"Until we can say the words 'public health' and everybody thinks of that as including mental health, we will not have a complete health-care system," Dr. Carmona told the crowd of psychologists. "I won't be satisfied until we achieve parity."²⁷

"My agenda is prevention," Cardona informed the US National Institute on Health.

*"We need to become a prevention-oriented society – one that accepts social responsibility, makes good decisions. Things like not smoking, increasing physical activity and eliminating risky behaviors are very simple, cost-effective measures that can have a huge impact on our society. After all, the lifestyles that we are creating today are what our children and grandchildren will inherit."*²⁸

His argument rings true. Good medical doctors naturally encourage prevention. They would want their patients to maintain their health and strength. But when globalist "change agents" step into the picture with their psycho-social strategies, calling their agenda "prevention" in order to gain your approval, they bring oppression instead of peace.

Cardona mentioned positive life-style changes such as exercise and not smoking. Those are good

suggestions. But when government officials assume the right to guide our personal lives, where else might they lead us? Could they *mandate* participation in the consensus process through government-funded “service learning” and other manipulative “lifelong learning” programs? Actually, it’s already happening, just as it happened in the Soviet Union long ago.²⁹

Notable Quotes on Control, Social Change, and Mind-frames:

“The future science of civilization may be summed up in a sentence. It will be the science of Power... Give us the Young. Give us the Young and we will create a new mind and a new earth in a single generation...Power in its highest expression is the science of organizing the individual mind in the service of the universal.” – Benjamin Kidd (British sociologist), *The Science of Power* (Methuen & Company, 1918), pp.258, 298.

“The nation state that we have designed is an imperfect concept. At best it’s a stepping stone to the place where we must go: planetary stewardship. And we can’t get there unless we turn five and a half billion minds from a multitude of cultural ignorances into a unified mindframe.” – Desmond E. Berghofer (former Assistant Deputy Minister of Advanced Education, Government of Alberta), *The Visioneers: A Courage Story about Belief in the Future* (Creative Learning International Press, 1992), p.37.

“The solution of contemporary global problems require global solidarity. We must identify ourselves at more inclusive levels as members of the One Humanity. We must change our attitudes, values and behaviors to adapt ourselves to this new identity.” – Nancy B. Roof (Center for Psychology and Social Change), statement at the United Nations World Summit for Social Development, 1995. *Social Priorities of Civil Society* (UN Non-Governmental Liaison Service, 1996), p.120.

Under the new system, noncompliant individuals would be caught and disciplined, for the web of surveillance and remediation is becoming virtually inescapable. In Part 1, you saw that President Bush’s “Freedom Commission on Mental Health” would involve continual assessments and monitoring of human resources. Children classified as loners, independent thinkers, poor or uncooperative team members (unwilling to conform to the group) would be “at risk” of mandatory remediation. Ultimately, all people and institutions would be held accountable to the intrusive national and international standards for positive mental health.

Promoted as *prevention*, such controls might even seem right. After all, it’s for the “common good.” And in a world dulled by feel-good thrills and the sensual seductions of the media, the masses will barely notice the loss of their freedom.

“...the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine, but according to their own desires, because they have itching ears, they will heap up for themselves teachers; and they will turn their ears away from the truth, and be turned aside to fables.

But you be watchful in all things, endure afflictions, do the work of an evangelist, fulfill your ministry.”

— 2 Timothy 4:3-5

Berit Kjos is author of *Brave New Schools* and *A Twist of Faith*. Her website, www.crossroad.to, contains a wealth of articles and essays.

Endnotes:

¹ “Mental Health and World Citizenship,” presented at The International Congress on Mental Health (ICMH), London, 1948. At this conference, the name of the ICMH was changed to World Federation for Mental Health (WFMH). Since then, the WFMH has grown into a massive global network. Working closely with the World Health Organization (WHO), it has been implementing its agenda through the nations and regions of the world.

² Bertrand Russell, *The Impact of Science on Society* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1953), p.30. Cited by Lewis Albert Alesen, *Mental Robots* (Caldwell, ID: Caxton Printers, 1960), p.26.

³ See, "Brainwashing in America" at www.crossroad.to/articles2/brainwashing.html.

⁴ G. Brock Chisholm, "The Re-Establishment of Peacetime Society," *Psychiatry*, February 1946.

⁵ See, "Ban Truth, Reap Tyranny" at www.crossroad.to/articles2/2003/ban-truth.htm.

⁶ Found at the "Nations for Mental Health" website at www.who.int/msa/nam/nam6.htm (link now obsolete). We have a copy of the page and will provide more details about this program in Part 3 of this series.

⁷ Lewis Albert Alesen, *Mental Robots* (Caldwell, ID: Caxton Printers, 1960), p.29.

⁸ David Satcher, MD, National Healthy People Consortium Meeting, November 12, 1998. <http://odphp.osophs.dhhs.gov/pubs/HP2000/satchconsor.htm>.

⁹ Executive Order (April 29, 2002) at www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/04/print/20020429-2.html. This statement also included "Veterans Affairs."

¹⁰ Lewis Albert Alesen, *Mental Robots* (Caldwell, ID: Caxton Printers, 1960), p.23.

¹¹ See "Federalism" and "Homeland Security and the Transformation of America" in the articles section at www.crossroad.to. When the Federal Government holds each state "accountable" for meeting top-down "outcomes" determined by the World Health Organization (WHO), it violates the 10th Amendment. Holding the purse strings and setting the standards, it assumes the power to govern the states. Showing "respect" rather than submission to the Constitution, it manipulates both the intention and the rule of the law. Clinton's revised executive order on Federalism set the stage. It redesigned and redefined the relationship between states and the White House, and the executive branch has been taking full advantage of its new powers.

¹² To understand how this "Nurse-Family Partnership" threatens the traditional family and challenges traditional values, read *Brave New Schools*, Chapter 7. Online at www.crossroad.to/Books/BraveNewSchools/7-Silencing_Opposition.htm.

¹³ President's New Freedom Commission on Mental Health, "Achieving the Promise: Transforming Mental Health care in America," Goal 4: E, www.mentalhealthcommission.gov/reports/FinalReport/FullReport-05.htm.

¹⁴ Lewis Albert Alesen, *Mental Robots* (Caldwell, ID: Caxton Printers, 1960), p.11.

¹⁵ Feasibility Study, Behavioral Science Teacher Education Program (B-STEP), Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Bureau of Research, 1969.

¹⁶ Marc Tucker, "How We Plan to Do It," Proposal to the New American School Development Corporation: National Center for Education and the Economy, July 9, 1992.

¹⁷ Dr. G. Brock Chisholm, "The Re-Establishment of Peacetime Society," *Psychiatry*, February 1946.

¹⁸ Charlotte Iserbyt, *The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America* (Ravenna OH: Conscience Press, 1999), p.42.

¹⁹ See "Brainwashing in America," www.crossroad.to/articles2/brainwashing.html.

²⁰ See "Steps toward Global Mind Control," www.crossroad.to/Excerpts/chronologies/mind-control.htm.

²¹ See "Origins of the World Federation for Mental Health," www.psych.org/pnews/98-01-19/hx.html.

²² "*Mental Health and World Citizenship*," founding document of the World Federation for Mental Health, pages 7 and 8. Distributed by the National Association For Mental Health, Inc., 1790 Broadway, New York, N.Y.

²³ "Future challenges for Mental Health," www.rehab-international.org/aboutri/social_commissions/mental_health.html.

²⁴ Personally recorded at a day-long seminar on solidarity at the 1996 UN Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) in Istanbul. See, www.crossroad.to/text/articles/solidarity.html.

²⁵ See "Reinventing the World, Part 2: The Mind-Changing Process," www.crossroad.to/articles2/Reinventing2.htm.

²⁶ David Satcher, "Building the Next Generation of Healthy People," National Healthy People Consortium Meeting, 11-12-98. <http://odphp.osophs.dhhs.gov/pubs/HP2000/satchconsor.htm>.

²⁷ "U.S. Surgeon General vows support for mental health parity," www.apa.org/monitor/oct03/parity.html.

²⁸ "Prevention, Preparedness Are Top Priorities," www.nih.gov/news/NIH-Record/01_21_2003/story01.htm.

²⁹ See "Serving a Greater Whole" at www.crossroad.to/Books/BraveNewSchools/6-Service.html and "Brainwashing and Education Reform" at www.crossroad.to/Quotes/brainwashing.html

The Tavistock Connection

By Dennis Cuddy

Editor's Note: Because Berit Kjos' feature article on mind control briefly mentions the Tavistock Institute, I am including a short excerpt from Dr. Dennis Cuddy's latest article titled "Tavistock." I would encourage you to read his entire expose, which has now been posted on WorldNetDaily (www.newswithviews.com/Cuddy/dennis122.htm).

Over the years, Dr. Cuddy and I have discussed numerous geo-political agendas and connections by telephone, and have often spent time reviewing each others published findings. His reputation as an in-depth researcher is recognized throughout the Christian analytical community.

Dr. Dennis Cuddy served as a senior associate with the US Department of Education in Washington, DC. He has written numerous books, includ-

ing *Secret Records Revealed*, and has appeared as a guest on radio talk shows across North America.

In 1920, the Tavistock Institute of Medical Psychology (TIMP) was founded. It was involved in psychotherapy, and psychiatrists at the Tavistock Clinic wanted to apply their findings to the general public in the form of certain social service programs.

John Rawlings Rees (who would be a co-founder of the World Federation for Mental Health in 1948) was Deputy Director of Tavistock at this time (he would become Director in 1932). Rees developed the “Tavistock Method,” which induces and controls stress via what Rees called “psychologically controlled environments” in order to make people give up firmly held beliefs under “peer pressure.”

Rees’ Tavistock Method was based on work done by British psychoanalyst Wilfrid Bion regarding the roles of individuals within groups. This design was later shifted in a series of conferences (1957-1965) led by A. Kenneth Rice, chairman of Tavistock’s Centre for the Applied Social Research. The shift was to the dynamics of leadership and authority relations in groups. According to the A.K. Rice Institute,

“In 1965 Rice led a conference in the United States, as the Tavistock Method began to be developed in the U.S. by Margaret Rioch and others. The A.K. Rice Institute is now the U.S. equivalent of the Tavistock Institute.”

In 1930, TIMP had been involved with the second biennial Conference on Mental Health, where psychiatrist J.R. Lord advocated challenging old values, saying “the aim should be to control not only nature, but human nature.” And he spoke of the “necessity to disarm the mind.”

Rees went even further than this on June 18, 1940 at the annual meeting of the National Council for Mental Hygiene of the United Kingdom.

In his speech on “Strategic Planning for Mental Health,” he proclaimed,

“We can therefore justifiably stress our particular point of view with regard to the proper development of the human psyche, even though our knowledge be incomplete. We must aim to make it permeate every educational activity in our national life...We have made a useful attack upon a number of professions. The two easiest of them naturally are the teaching profession and the Church: the two most difficult are law and medicine...Public life, politics and industry should all of them be within our sphere of influence...If we are to infiltrate the professional and social activities of other people, I think we must imitate the Totalitarians and organize some kind of fifth column activity! If better ideas on mental health are to progress and spread we, as the salesmen, must lose our identity...Let us all, therefore, very secretly be ‘fifth columnists’.” (See *Mental Health*, Vol. 1, No. 4, October 1940). **FC**

Fast Facts on World Change

By Carl Teichrib

United Religions

On February 13, the mayor of Rome, Walter Veltroni, stepped down from his office in order to pursue the goal of becoming Italy’s next prime minister. Veltroni, a Marxist in his youth, had risen to become one of the most recognized mayors in the world – being hailed by *Time Magazine* as a European “Town Hall Titan” and finding himself on the short list for the 2008 World Mayor Award.¹

The following day, at the Palladium Theatre,

Veltroni told the press that he had another aspiration; the establishment of an official centre to unite the world religions under the leadership of the United Nations Secretary-General and the Pope. AGI News reported Veltroni as saying, “I have discussed the idea to make Rome a place where a building could be constructed that would be called ‘United Religions’ with the Secretary-General of the UN and the Pope; it would be a place for all religions in the world.”²

The World Bank, Water, and Middle East Peace

Working with Jordan, Israel, and the Palestinian Authority, the World Bank is involved in a feasibility study to determine whether or not pumping in water from the Red Sea could rejuvenate the Dead Sea, which is suffering environmental stress. According to The Bretton Woods Project, a group that monitors the World Bank and International Monetary Fund, the Bank is seeking donor funds for the study, which is estimated at \$15.5 million. Currently, the United States, France, Japan, Greece, and The Netherlands have agreed to contribute.

Known as the Red Sea to Dead Sea conveyance project (RDC), or “peace conduit,” the goal is to recuperate the Dead Sea while building peace dividends in the process.

“The shared vision of the RDC is to: ‘save the Dead Sea from environmental degradation; desalinate water/generate energy at affordable prices for Jordan, Israel, and the Palestinian Authority; and build a symbol of peace and cooperation in the Middle East.’ It would involve channelling water into the Dead Sea from the Red Sea via the largest seawater pumping plant in the world at the Gulf of Aqaba and a 200km long conduit. The Dead Sea has been devastated over the past 50 years by increased sewage disposal into

its sole tributary, the Jordan River, and by the diversion of water from the river by Israel, Jordan and Syria. The sea’s water levels are dropping by approximately one metre per year.”³

Already some environmental groups and geologists are saying that the World Bank is focusing on the wrong solution. The non-governmental organization, Friends of the Earth Middle East (FoEME), notes that the Peace Conduit project fails to take into account major upstream pollution issues. Instead of a Red Sea/Dead Sea pumping system, FoEME is calling for changes in the way regional agriculture production is carried out, and pricing water so that it more accurately reflects the costs associated with desalination.⁴

Politically this has the potential to become a hot-button issue. President Shimon Peres has been pushing this development “as part of the ‘Peace Valley’ scheme which he believes will bring Jordan, the Palestinian Authority and Israel closer together.”⁵ Earlier on, Peres stated that “the peace conduit...is vital for the preservation of the Dead Sea, but just as much for peace and prosperity in the area.”⁶

The Peace Conduit project, when completed, is expected to cost \$5 billion US.

Eco-Fascism

Canada’s leading global warming/climate change spokesperson, David Suzuki, openly suggested imprisoning politicians who don’t adhere to the global warming/climate change agenda.

In early February, Suzuki was an invited speaker at Montreal’s McGill University Business Conference on Sustainability. While lecturing to a crowd of 600, Suzuki called on Canada to balance its environmental and financial interests, negatively referring to the booming Alberta oilsands development. Suzuki also suggested that his audience engage in a more radical action, jailing politicians

who oppose the global warming agenda. Describing such men as committing an “intergenerational crime,” Suzuki exhorted the MacGill crowd toward legal methods of eco-fascism,

“What I would challenge you to do is to put a lot of effort into trying to see whether there’s a legal way of throwing our so-called leaders into jail because they’re doing a criminal act.”⁷

“It’s an intergenerational crime in the face of all the knowledge and science from over 20 years,” explained Suzuki. *Calgary Herald* commentator, Licia Corbella, saw through the rhetoric.

“Notice how he didn’t say 25 or 30 years ago. Know why? Because 25 years ago, this prophet of perdition was predicting that Earth was doomed to plunge into another ice age! Cooling was a catastrophe and now warming is a catastrophe. He should make up his mind.”⁸

China’s Banks, Energy, and World Moves

Recently, the *Oil & Gas Financial Journal* noted that,

“China’s banks have soared back from the edge of collapse a few years ago to become financial giants. They did this by restructuring and forging alliances with foreign retail and investment banks, including Bank of America, Citigroup, HSBC, Goldman Sachs, Merrill Lynch, Royal Bank of Scotland, and Commonwealth Bank of Australia. Last year Industrial & Commercial Bank of China beat Citigroup and Bank of America, becoming the world’s largest bank by market value. Due in part to their alliances with several large American investment banks, don’t be sur-

prised to see China’s banks become participants in the US oil and gas industry.”⁹

Jim Trippon, CPA of Trippon Wealth Management, notes that, “China is now home to some of the largest financial and corporate entities in the world.”¹⁰

At one point, China’s failing banking system offered a market for foreign bank investments. In 2003, Citigroup bought a 5% stake in Shanghai Pudong Development Bank and introduced credit cards to its Chinese customers. Trippon comments, “The Chinese people are traditionally known as habitual savers, and credit cards are still relatively new to them. Now, 18% of China’s retail sales are paid for by credit card.” He also says that “foreign lenders have more than doubled their profits from Chinese banking operations, raking in a combined total of more than half a billion dollars a year.”¹¹

Now, however, the tides are turning, particularly with the economic problems facing the United States. Jim Trippon explains,

“With the plummeting dollar and continued weakness in American banking stocks in wake of the subprime mess, US banking giants and financial institutions suddenly look cheap to their Chinese counterparts. China Construction Bank, which once welcomed capital from Bank of America, bought out Bank of America’s investments in Hong Kong and Macau...China’s largest private lender, Minsheng Bank, purchased 9.9% stake in San Francisco-based UCBH Holdings in 2007, the first move of its kind by a Chinese bank on American soil...

...The big picture for China’s banks is very bid indeed. China’s Banking Regulatory Commission is pushing banks to seek out mergers and acquisitions around the world.”¹²



Earlier in 2008, *The Economist* made an interesting remark about the latest influx of foreign economic flows into the US.

“In principle everyone welcomes foreign investment. But when the money belongs to other governments, people – especially politicians – are not always so sure. America’s Congress has uttered barely a squeak as Wall Street’s titans have taken foreign cash.”¹³ FC

Endnotes:

¹ Andrew Stevens, “Walter Veltroni: Mayor of Rome and leader of Italy’s centre-left,” *City Mayors*, February 14, 2008. [www.citymayors.com/mayors/rome-mayor.html].

² AGI News, “Veltroni: With UN and Pope for ‘United Religions’,” February 14, 2008. [www.agi.it].

³ “World Bank: Head in the Sand over ‘Peace Conduit’,” *Bretton Woods Update*, December 2007, p.8.

⁴ Ibid. p.8.

⁵ Gil Ronen, “Scientists Oppose Peres’ Dead Sea Canal Scheme,” *Israel National News*, [www.israelnationalnews.com].

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ Craig Offman, “Suzuki jail talk draws fire,” *National Post*, online edition [www.nationalpost.com], February 8, 2008.

⁸ Licia Corbella, “Suzuki’s dragnet can’t keep real science behind bars,” *Calgary Herald*, online edition, February 9, 2008.

⁹ *Oil & Gas Financial Journal*, January 2008, p.16.

¹⁰ Jim Trippon, “Banking on China in Energy Transactions,” *Oil & Gas Financial Journal*, January 2008, p.28.

¹¹ Ibid, pp.30,32.

¹² Ibid. p.34-35.

¹³ “Asset-backed insecurity,” *The Economist*, January 19, 2008, p.78.

American Socialism, World Order, and the Problem of Peace

By Carl Teichrib

Editor’s Note: Sometimes the best way to capture the big picture is to allow people to speak for themselves. In this section, we’re allowing an his-

torical advocate of world socialism to present his version of the big picture. Why? Because it gives us a window into the utopian ideals that are being passed-off as answers to the world’s problems.

First some background: Scott Nearing (1883-1983) was an economics professor, a prolific author, a noted lecturer, and a radical proponent of socialism in America. Eugene V. Debs, the five-time Socialist candidate for US president once said, “Scott Nearing! He is the greatest teacher in the United States.”¹

Nearing’s influence was evident on university and college campuses across America, and he was a recognized peace activist during the anti-war campaigns of the 1960s and 70s. From the early twentieth century to later in his life, he was known for his affiliations with a multitude of socialist and communist-linked organization, including the International Workers Order, Friends of the Soviet Union, and the World Peace Congress.² In 1927 he joined the Communist Party, but after completing his *Dollar Diplomacy* manuscript, Moscow deemed Nearing too unorthodox and withheld publishing permission. Due to this censorship Nearing resigned from the Party, however “the Party did not agree to his voluntary departure and expelled him with a stinging denunciation.”³ Even so, Nearing maintained a strong pro-communist position. During a Miami, Florida speaking engagement in 1964 he was asked, “Are you a card-carrying Communist?”

Nearing responded, “I am not now a card-carrying Communist but I am a Communist.”⁴

Beyond his radical political and economic posture, Nearing was acknowledged for his nature conservation and homesteading abilities. His book, *The Good Life*, co-written with his wife Helen, chronicles a devotion to simple living, gardening, and hard work. And while their homesteading lifestyle gained them a favourable reputation in the back-to-the-land movement, it was Nearing’s dedication to international socialism that will be remembered in world government circles.



Scott Nearing

The following text is an excerpt from Mr. Nearing's essay titled "American Socialism, World Government and Peace."⁵ Notice the unity-in-diversity theme and the suggested use of regionalism – albeit under a more national guise – as foundational to creating a flexible world system.

"...When the United States takes up the task of building socialism, Japan, Canada and the NATO countries and the members of the Bandung Bloc will easily fall into line, if they have not previously joined the peoples dedicated to the building of a socialist world.

Once the balance has been tipped toward collectivism, the large segments of the world engaged in building socialism, plus its new recruits and allies, could ignore or laugh off scattered vestiges of capitalism in Switzerland, Spain, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and parts of Latin America.

Social engineers, humanitarians, idealists and utopists are face to face with this task: Make the United States socialist. Having tipped the world balance decisively away from capitalism toward socialism, the builders of a new social order will face the next problem: making sure that a socialist

world can coexist without resorting periodically to armed violence...

...Before world peace can be maintained, even under socialism, a firmly knit international government must be established. Presumably, such an international structure will consist of agencies which bind nations and peoples into a flexible but workable whole.

At the base of the structure will be national or regional units. Unitary, closely knit structures like France and Italy are such national units. Federations such as the United States of Mexico and the United States of America are such regional units. Fourscore of these political units would make up a workable United Nations Authority or United States of the World.

Such an organization, to be effective, must be flexible, because of the wide range of cultural institutions to be found even among the socialist nations which are in existence at the time of this writing. Attempts to reduce these nations and peoples to a uniform pattern would produce hostility, resistance and finally rebellion against the world authority. An effective world authority would undertake to ensure the widest possible range of choice, decision and action in the areas composing the world organization. The component elements of the world body would enjoy home rule in the same sense that the cities of Illinois enjoy the right to handle their own city problems or the provinces of composing Canada determine their own provincial affairs.

Theoretically, at least, the more local autonomy or home rule exercised by the nations and regions composing the world authority, the more vital and dynamic would be the entire organization of world life.

Side by side with local home rule, the United World Authority must have the means to deal effectively with matters which concern two or more of the nations or regions which make up the world organization. Home rule in local affairs must be paralleled by a central organization with delegated powers sufficient to deal with problems concern-

ing the welfare of several nations and areas. This federal principle of home rule in local affairs and central administration of matters of general or common concern has worked with varying degrees of effectiveness in Switzerland, the United States and the British Commonwealth. In the federal principle lies the key to effective world organization.

Under existing world conditions, the extreme emphasis placed on 'independence,' 'self-determination' and 'national sovereignty' tips the balance against the workableness of a world authority. The provisions of the United Nations Charter limiting the function of the General Assembly, and giving five nations permanent seats in the Security Council and therefore permanent veto power, preserve the sovereignty of the Big Five by providing each of them with the possibility of obstructing or crippling United Nations action and thus outlawing measures which are distasteful to one among the fourscore members of the United Nations organization. A socialist world federation, which aimed to preserve peace and order and to provide for the general welfare, must limit national sovereignty sufficiently to enable the world organization to make and implement decisions in matters concerning the general world welfare.

Events of the past decade make it increasingly evident that the world economy, as at present constituted, will grow more collective rather than less as the years pass. A collective world economy will necessitate a collective political and social superstructure. The collectivist trend, progressive for this historical period, is blocked at the moment by capitalist survivals, chiefly those in the United States and the British Commonwealth. Making the United States socialist would open the way to world progress at the same time that it increased the probability of world peace.” **FC**

Endnotes:

¹ Comment can be found at, www.rawganique.com/book-scottnearing.htm.

² For a list of organizations Nearing had connections with, see the *Biographical Dictionary of the Left*, Volume 1 (Western Islands, 1969), pp.471-472.

³ Guenter Lewy, *The Cause that Failed: Communism in American Political Life* (Oxford, 1990), pp.8-9.

⁴ Francis X. Gannon, *Biographical Dictionary of the Left*, Volume 1 (Western Islands, 1969), pp.471-472

⁵ The full text of this essay can be found in the book, *Toward A Socialist America: A Symposium of Essays*, edited by Helen Alfred (Peace Publications, 1958).

Forcing Change is a monthly online publication dedicated to documenting and analyzing the socio-religious transformations now sweeping our world. Owned by Globalization International, Forcing Change is a membership subscription service, with an annual fee of \$120.00 US. Membership in Forcing Change allows access to the full range of FC publications, including e-reports, audio and media presentations, Forcing Change back issues, downloadable expert documents, and more. FC receives neither government funding nor the financial backing of any other institutions; rather, Forcing Change operates solely on subscription/membership support. To learn more about Forcing Change, including membership benefits, go to www.forcingchange.org.

Globalization International/Forcing Change,
P.O. Box 31, Plumas, Manitoba, R0J-1P0,
Canada.

For publications: Permission to re-publish articles found in Forcing Change is granted, providing that FC credit is acknowledged (preferably with the Forcing Change URL attached), and that Globalization International/Forcing Change is notified of the public article use.

For private individuals: Permission is granted for individuals to make copies at will of FC articles and distribute said materials to family, friends, colleagues, and community leaders.